

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/599,408	09/28/2006	Arnd Ritz	DE 040089	8446
24737 7590 03/11/2009 PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS P.O. BOX 3001			EXAMINER	
			TADESSE, YEWEBDAR T	
BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1792	•
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/11/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/599.408 RITZ ET AL. Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit Francis P Smith 1792 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Francis P. Smith. (2) Mark Beloborodov. (4)____. Date of Interview: 10 March 2009. Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) Personal (copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e)XI No. If Yes, brief description: _____. Claim(s) discussed: 1-9. Identification of prior art discussed: Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The election restriction was discussed to clarify the claim groupings of the instant application. Currently, two groups are present in the claims: Group 1, claims 1-5, drawn to an apparatus; Group 2, claims 6-9, drawn to the method/product. Applicants elected Group 1, claims 1-5, without traverse. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/F. P. S./
| Michael Kornakow/
| Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1792
| Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1792
| Supervisory Patent Examiner (Art Unit 1792)
| Supervisory Patent Examiner (Art Unit 1792)